arXiv:1403.0123v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2014

Reduction of a family of ideals

Tomasz Rodak

Abstract. In the paper we prove that there exists a simultaneous reduction of one-parameter family of $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary ideals in the ring of germs of holomorphic functions. As a corollary we generalize the result of A. Płoski [6] on the semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent in a multiplicity-constant deformation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 14B07; Secondary 14C17, 13H15.

Keywords. Reduction of an ideal, Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, Łojasiewicz exponent.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring and I an ideal. We say that an ideal J is a *reduction of* I if it satisfies the following condition:

$$J \subset I, \quad \text{and for some} \quad r > 0 \quad \text{we have} \quad I^{r+1} = JI^r.$$

The notion of reduction is closely related to the notions of *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* and *integral closure* of an ideal.

Recall that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring of dimension n and I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R, then the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of I is given by the formula

$$e(I) = n! \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\text{length}_R R/I^k}{k^n}.$$

For the multiplicity theory in local rings see for example [5] or [2].

Let I be an ideal in a ring R. An element $x \in R$ is said to be *integral over* I if there exists an integer n and elements $a_k \in I^k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0.$$

This research was partially supported by the Polish OPUS Grant No 2012/07/B/ST1/03293.

The set of all elements of R that are integral over I is called the *integral closure of* I, and is denoted $\overline{I}$. If $I = \overline{I}$ then I is called *integrally closed*. It is well known that $\overline{I}$ is an ideal.

The relationship between the above notions is given in the following Theorem due to D. Rees:

Theorem 1 (Rees, [2, Cor. 1.2.5, Thm. 11.3.1]**).** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a formally equidimensional Noetherian local ring and let $J \subset I$ be two $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *J is a reduction of I;*
2. *$e(I) = e(J)$;*
3. *$\overline{I} = \overline{J}$.*

It is an important fact that a reduction of an ideal is often generated by a system of parameters. More precisely we have

Theorem 2 ([5, Theorem 14.14]**).** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional Noetherian local ring, and suppose that $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is an infinite field; let $I = (u_1, \ldots, u_s)$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Then there exist a finite number of polynomials $D_\alpha \in k[Z_{ij}; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s]$, $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \nu$ such that if $y_i = \sum a_{ij} u_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and at least one of $D_\alpha(\overline{a}_{ij}; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s) \neq 0$, then the ideal $(y_1, \ldots, y_d)R$ is a reduction of I and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$ is a system of parameters of R.*

Let $(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{C}$. The aim of this note is to prove the following:

Theorem 3. *Let $F = F_t(x) = F(x, t) \colon (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that $(F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is an $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary ideal for all t. Then there exists a complex linear map $\pi \colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that for all t the ideal $(\pi \circ F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$.*

In the next section we get as a corollary that if the above family $(F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ is of constant multiplicity then the Łojasiewicz exponent in this family is a lower semicontinuos function of t. A. Płoski proved this result under additional restriction $m = n$ but with space of parameters of arbitrary dimension.

The proof of Theorem 3 is based on some geometric property of Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, given in section 3.

2. Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. By the *Łojasiewicz exponent* $\mathcal{L}(I)$ of I we define the infimum of

$$\left\{ \frac{p}{q} : \mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{I^q} \right\}.$$

It was proved in [3] that if $F \colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ is a holomorphic map with an isolated zero at the origin and $I := (F)\,\mathcal{O}_n$, then $\mathcal{L}(I)$ is an optimal

exponent ν in the inequality

$$|F(x)| \geqslant C|x|^{\nu},$$

where C is some positive constant and x runs through sufficiently small neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Lemma 4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring. If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R and J is a reduction of I then $\mathcal{L}(I) = \mathcal{L}(J)$.*

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{L}(I) \leqslant \mathcal{L}(J)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{I^q}$. Since J is a reduction of I, then also J^q is a reduction of I^q [2, Prop. 8.1.5]. Thus $\overline{J^q} = \overline{I^q}$ by Theorem 1, which gives $\mathfrak{m}^p \subset \overline{J^q}$. This proves the inequality $\mathcal{L}(J) \leqslant \mathcal{L}(I)$ and ends the proof. □

Corollary 5 (A. Płoski for $m = n$, [6]). *Let $F\colon (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ be a holomorphic map. Put $I_t := (F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$. If the function $t \mapsto e(I_t)$ is constant and finite then the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{L}(I_t)$ is lower semicontinuos.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 there exists a linear map $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $J_t := (\pi \circ F_t)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of I_t for all t. Thus $\mathcal{L}(J_t) = \mathcal{L}(I_t)$ and $e(J_t) = e(I_t)$ by Theorem 1 and Lemma 4. Consequently $t \mapsto e(J_t)$ is constant and finite and the assertion follows from the case $m = n$ proved by A. Płoski. □

3. Improper intersection multiplicity

Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and let $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ be its generators. We put $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$. It is well known that if $m = n$ then

$$e(I) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n / I.$$

On the other hand, if $m > n$ then we may define so-called *improper intersection multiplicity* $i_0(I)$ of I as the improper intersection multiplicity $i(\mathrm{graph}f \cdot (\mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}); (0,0))$ of $\mathrm{graph}f$ and $\mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}$ at the point $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ (see [1]).

Let C_f be the (Whitney) tangent cone of the germ of the image of f at the origin. The following observation is due to S. Spodzieja.

Theorem 6 ([7]). *The number $i_0(I)$ is well defined. Moreover, if $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^l$ is a linear map such that $\ker \pi \cap C_f = \{0\}$, then the ideal J generated by $\pi \circ f$ is $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary and we have $i_0(I) = i_0(J)$. If additionally $l = n$ then $i_0(I) = e(J)$.*

Corollary 7. *If I is an $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary ideal in $\mathcal{O}_n$, then $i_0(I) = e(I)$.*

Proof. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)\mathcal{O}_n$. By Theorems 2 and 6 there exists linear combinations $g_i = \sum a_{ij} f_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ such that $J = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of I, $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ is a system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $i_0(I) = i_0(J) = e(J)$. From Theorem 1 we get $e(I) = e(J)$. This ends the proof. □

Corollary 8. *If $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^l$ is a linear map such that $\ker \pi \cap C_f = \{0\}$, then the ideal J generated by $\pi \circ f$ is a reduction of I.*

Proof. We have $J \subset I$ and $e(J) = e(I)$. This and Theorem 1 give the assertion. □

4. Elementary blowing-up

Here we recall the notion of an elementary blowing-up after [4].

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open and connected neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$; let $f = (f_0, \ldots, f_m) \neq 0$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on U. Put $S = \{x \in U : f(x) = 0\}$ and

$$E(f) = \{(x, u) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^m : f_i(x)u_j = f_j(x)u_i, i, j = 0, \ldots, m\},$$

where $u = [u_0 : \cdots : u_m] \in \mathbb{P}^m$.

Let Y be the closure of $E(f) \setminus S$ in $U \times \mathbb{P}^m$. The natural projection

$$\pi : Y \to U$$

is called the *(elementary) blowing-up of U by means of $f_0, \ldots, f_m$*. The analytic subset S is called a *centre of the blowing-up* and its inverse image $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset Y$ is called the *exceptional set* of the blowing-up.

Proposition 9. *Under above notations we have:*

1. *Y is an analytic subset of $U \times \mathbb{P}^m$;*
2. *π is proper, its range is U and the restriction $\pi_{|Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(S)}$ is a biholomorphism onto $U \setminus S$;*
3. *Y is irreducible;*
4. *The exceptional set $\pi^{-1}(S)$ is analytic in $U \times \mathbb{P}^m$ and it is of pure dimension $n - 1$.*

Proof. Although the above proposition is well known, we think that point (4) is worth proving. Let us consider the analytic map

$$F \colon U \times \mathbb{P}^m \ni (x, u) \mapsto (f(x), u) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{P}^m.$$

Let $y_0, \ldots, y_m$ be coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$. If we denote by $\pi_{m+1} \colon \Pi_{m+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ the blowing-up of $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ by means of $y_0, \ldots, y_m$ then for the restriction $\widetilde{f} = F_{|Y}$ we get the following commutative diagram of analytic maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} & \Pi_{m+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{m+1}} \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \end{array}$$

Take $(x_0, u_0) \in \pi^{-1}(0)$. Let $\Omega \subset \Pi_{m+1}$ be a neighbourhood of $(0, u_0)$, $h \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function such that

$$\pi_{m+1}^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega = \{(y, u) \in \Omega : h(y, u) = 0\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset Y$ be a neighbourhood of (x_0, u_0) such that $\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\Omega}) \subset \Omega$. Since $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(\pi_{m+1}^{-1}(0)) = \pi^{-1}(S)$ we get

$$\pi^{-1}(S) \cap \widetilde{\Omega} = \{(x, u) \in \widetilde{\Omega} : h \circ \widetilde{f}(x, u) = 0\}.$$

Thus there exists a neighbourhood $\Delta \subset U \times \mathbb{P}^m$ of (x_0, u_0) and an analytic set $V \subset \Delta$ of pure dimension $n+m-1$ such that

$$\pi^{-1}(S) \cap \Delta = V \cap Y \cap \Delta.$$

This gives

$$\dim_{(x_0,u_0)} \pi^{-1}(S) \geqslant \dim_{(x_0,u_0)} Y - 1 = n-1.$$

Since Y is irreducible and $\pi^{-1}(S) \subsetneq Y$ we get that $\dim_p \pi^{-1}(S) = n-1$ for any $p \in \pi^{-1}(S)$. This ends the proof. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 10. *Let $F\colon (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, 0)$, $m \geqslant n$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that 0 is an isolated point of $F_t^{-1}(0)$ for $|t| < \delta$. Then there exists $\delta > \epsilon > 0$ and a complex line $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, such that $V \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $|t| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let $F\colon U \to \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ is a connected neighbourhood of the origin. Put $S = \{(z,t) \in U : F(z,t) = 0\}$ and let $\pi\colon U \times \mathbb{P}^m \supset Y \to U$ be the elementary blowing-up of U by F. By Proposition 9 its exceptional set $E := \pi^{-1}(S)$ is an analytic set of pure dimension n. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a set of those irreducible components W of E for which origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is an accumulation point of $\pi(W) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is finite. Denote by $\widetilde{C_{F_t}}$ the image of the cone C_{F_t} in $\mathbb{P}^m$. Observe that

$$\{(0,t)\} \times \widetilde{C_{F_t}} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{E}, \quad |t| < \delta.$$

On the other hand for any $W \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\dim W \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^m) \leqslant n-1 < m.$$

Thus there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and an open set $G \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ such that

$$(\{(0,t)\} \times G) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{E} = \emptyset, \quad 0 < |t| < \epsilon$$

As a result if V is a line in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ corresponding to some point in G then $V \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $0 < |t| < \epsilon$. Since G is not a subset of C_{F_0} we get the assertion. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Induction on m. In the case $m = n$ there is nothing to prove. Let us assume that the assertion is true for some $m \geqslant n$ and let $F\colon (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, 0)$ be a holomorphic map such that the ideals $(F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ are $\mathfrak{m}_n$-primary. By Lemma 10 there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a linear mapping $\pi'\colon \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\ker \pi' \cap C_{F_t} = \{0\}$ for $|t| < \epsilon$. Thus, by Corollary 8 the ideal $(\pi' \circ F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$. On the other hand, by induction hypothesis, there exists a linear map $\pi''\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $(\pi'' \circ \pi' \circ F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ is a reduction of $(\pi' \circ F_t)\,\mathcal{O}_n$ for small t. Thus if we put $\pi := \pi'' \circ \pi'$ we get the assertion. □

References

[1] R. Achilles, P. Tworzewski, and T. Winiarski. On improper isolated intersection in complex analytic geometry. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 51:21–36, 1990.

[2] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[3] M. Lejeune-Jalabert and B. Teissier. *Séminaire Lejeune-Teissier: Cloture Integrale des Ideaux et equisingularite : Chapitre 1*. Universite Scientifique et Medicale de Grenoble. Laboratoire de mathématiques pures associe au C.N.R.S., 1974.

[4] Stanisław Łojasiewicz. *Introduction to complex analytic geometry*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991. Translated from the Polish by Maciej Klimek.

[5] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.

[6] A. Płoski. Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent. *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.*, 48:103–110, 2010.

[7] S. Spodzieja. Multiplicity and the Łojasiewicz exponent. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 73(3):257–267, 2000.

Tomasz Rodak
University of Łódź
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
S. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland
e-mail: `rodakt@math.uni.lodz.pl`